Learning to read scientific literature is a crucial component of an undergraduate education. Undergraduate science students learn to analyze data, read primary literature, and integrate knowledge across articles into a cohesive understanding of a field of study. Often, a class includes students with varying experience reading primary literature, making it difficult to develop assignments that are adequately approachable yet challenging for every student. Here I describe a three-part assignment for an intermediate level neurobiology course that seeks to address this concern. Each student was first assigned a single article in the field of opioid research, which they summarized in an entry for a digital timeline. Second, students presented their timeline entries to the class, and the compiled digital timeline was made publicly available online. In the third part of the assignment, students wrote a brief perspective paper. Here, students explained how their assigned article fit into the field of study using their classmates' timeline entries, along with the option to include additional references outside of the timeline. This three-part assignment sought to provide a supportive yet challenging project for students at all levels. The project was designed as a non-disposable assignment, aligned with additional learning goals and pedagogical practices, including interdisciplinary awareness, writing-to-learn, and inclusive pedagogy. Versions of this assignment have been used for both in-person and remote instruction.

A key component of an undergraduate science education is the development of a foundational understanding of how scientific inquiry is conducted, including the ability to read and analyze primary literature within a field of study. In intermediate level courses that serve students at multiple stages of this learning process, it can be difficult to design assignments that are adequately approachable yet challenging for all students.

The primary goal of this assignment is to expose students to primary literature within the broad context of a field of study that spans decades, including techniques from multiple disciplines and contradictory data. This assignment links course material with experimental design and critical analysis, while students build a historical account of how individual experiments and articles fit within a broader context.

To create an assignment that would be appropriate for students with different levels of prior experience reading primary literature, this three-part assignment was designed with opportunities for feedback and student choice. Each part of the assignment was designed with increasing difficulty such that students could apply concepts and skills learned during one part of the assignment to the next part. Instructor feedback was used to help guide students in this process. Student choice was also incorporated into the assignment, specifically to encourage more advanced students to challenge themselves beyond the minimum assignment requirements. Together, these practices follow an inclusive, asset-based pedagogical approach, with a goal to provide enriching learning opportunities for all students in the class (Florian, 2015).

The assignment was constructed in a manner that aligns with other pedagogical goals. The American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) *Vision and Change* core competencies include the interdisciplinary nature of science within biology subfields, with other science disciplines, and with society more broadly (AAAS, 2010). Additionally, this course is part of the integrative core curriculum of the neuroscience program at Holy Cross, which also has an explicit learning goal of interdisciplinary awareness (Basu et al., 2021). The topic of opioid research was chosen because it is one of the course topics that highlights “interdisciplinary relationships”, in that understanding the functions of opioids requires techniques that span across disciplines, including biology (such as receptor trafficking), chemistry (such as x-ray crystalization), and psychology (including models of addiction-related behavior). Articles were chosen by the instructor to integrate several levels of analysis in neuroscience inquiry, while reinforcing key themes from the course, including molecular structure of receptors, intracellular signaling pathways, neuronal physiology, neural circuits, and animal behavior.

Scientific writing is an important communication skill for students to develop. When incorporated into course assignments, scientific writing has been shown to increase scientific literacy and confidence (Brownell et al., 2013). Writing-to-learn is a pedagogical tool through which students use writing to learn or reinforce course material (Rivard, 1994). Furthermore, this assignment was designed such that the instructor's role is not solely as a grader, but also as a collaborator, helping students edit their writing before it is shared with the rest of the class. Assignments that are collaborative in nature have been shown to increase
student motivation and satisfaction (Chinn and Hilgers, 2000).

In the past several years, many groups have published exciting new ways to teach students how to read literature, in flipped and project-based classes, such as the Consider, Read, Elucidate hypotheses, Analyze and interpret data, and Think of the next Experiment (CREATE) method (Hoskins et al., 2007) and Process Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning (POGIL) (Murray, 2014). Yet, some faculty have been hesitant to adopt these new practices, which may require attendance at faculty development workshops or shifts in teaching style and may be perceived to take significant time and effort to implement (Miller and Metz, 2014). The assignment described here can be integrated with many teaching styles and can require little to no class time.

Versions of this assignment have been used for two semesters of an Intermediate Neurobiology course at a small undergraduate liberal arts college. The assignments were used during a traditional in-person semester (Fall 2018, 11 students) and remotely (Spring 2020, 25 students). Each class consisted of second- through fourth-year students and was intended to provide an entryway into primary literature, while allowing more challenging options for advanced students.

**METHODS AND RESULTS**

**Assignment Part 1**

Students were instructed to generate a timeline entry for an assigned article. Timeline entries consisted of a brief summary of the article (five sentence maximum), a representative figure from the article (one to two figure panels), and a caption for the figure (one to two sentences). An example timeline entry can be seen in Figure 1, a screenshot from the timeline that was generated. The full timeline from Spring 2020 is at the following URL: https://bit.ly/2VnXIP5. As can be seen at this URL, the timeline consists of a separate page for each student entry and provides an overview of key papers related to opioid research.

A full list of the 25 articles used for this assignment (Spring 2020) are listed in the Appendix. Articles were chosen to meet the following criteria: (1) spanned multiple decades (1973-2019), (2) utilized a variety of techniques and levels of analysis, including chromatography, x-ray crystallography, electrophysiology, immunohistochemistry, and animal behavior, (3) included some findings that had been presented in class, (4) included sets of articles from the same research group, (5) included some articles with conflicting results, and (6) included some authors from groups that have been historically marginalized in STEM.

Each student was assigned one article by matching an alphabetized list of student names and a chronological list of articles (students with last names at the beginning of the alphabet were assigned earlier papers). In a larger class, this assignment could be designed with students working in groups, where each group is assigned one or more articles.

The audience for this assignment was the other students in the class—readers with background neurobiology knowledge, but who had not read the same article.

Students were invited, but not required, to set up individual meetings with the instructor to discuss their article.

**MORPHINE PROMOTES RAPID, ARRESTIN-DEPENDENT ENDOCYTOSIS OF \( \mu \)-OPIOID RECEPTORS IN STRIATAL NEURONS**

The primary goals of this paper were to identify the mechanism by which morphine redistributes \( \mu \)-opioid receptors (MORs), a type of G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR), in the nucleus accumbens and to exclude the possibility that endogenous ligands were responsible for the redistribution of MORs. Haberstock-Debic et al. found that morphine-dependent redistribution of MORs is mediated by rapid endocytosis and is dependent on arrestin, a family of proteins important for regulating signal transduction in GPCR pathways. Haberstock-Debic et al. also found that morphine-induced endocytosis of MORs could be a direct consequence of opioid receptor activation from morphine alone. The internalization of \( \mu \)-opioid receptors is interesting because this leaves fewer receptors on the...
Assignment Part 2

After the timeline was generated, students presented their entries to the class. In the class of eleven students, all presentations were given during a single fifty-minute class period. Each student gave a three-minute presentation of their timeline entry, while it was projected in front of the class. Each student then also answered one to two questions from classmates or the instructor. For the class of 25 students, the plan was for five groups of students to each give five- to seven-minute group presentations summarizing the main conclusions of a set of articles within the timeline. However, this portion of the assignment was cancelled in Spring 2020 due to technological, scheduling and other challenges associated with the COVID19 pandemic. Another alternative would be to have students record presentations that could be shared and viewed by students outside of class.

Assignment Part 3

Students were then assigned to each write a short perspective paper (600-900 words, excluding references), putting the findings from their assigned article into the context of the rest of the timeline. Students were directed to use the timeline to identify articles that were closely related to their assigned article. In the perspective paper, students were required to include citations of at least five articles from the timeline, and citing additional references was optional. Students were again encouraged, but not required, to meet with the instructor, to discuss any aspect of this part of the assignment.

In Spring 2020, eleven students (out of 25) completed this part of the assignment with the minimum requirement of citing five timeline articles. Eleven students cited additional timeline articles (for a total of six through eleven cited articles), and three students cited additional sources outside of the timeline.

DISCUSSION

The three-part framework of this assignment aims to provide an accessible yet challenging learning opportunity for students with varying levels of prior experience with primary literature. Prior proficiency in reading primary literature is not required. Many students may be challenged in the first part of the assignment, yet with the instructor's help, gain experience reading primary literature, which they can then apply when completing later parts of the assignment. Students who have prior experience reading primary literature may be able to complete the first part of the assignment without much difficulty, but then be challenged when they need to integrate information from several articles in the third part of the assignment.

In the third part of the assignment, more than half of the students went beyond the minimum requirement by opting to incorporate additional cited papers within and beyond the class generated timeline. These students typically used additional articles to support relevant points. One student took a particularly creative approach, weaving in a broader context from a book about people and cultural factors that have influenced the opioid crisis (Quinones, 2015). (Excerpts from this book were initially included in the course syllabus but were cut when several class meetings were cancelled during the COVID19 pandemic.) Only three students used sources outside of the timeline. In future semesters, the handout describing the assignment may be edited to more strongly encourage students to seek additional sources. This may include more guidance as to how to find additional sources, in order to further encourage students to push themselves in this respect.

This assignment produced a digital timeline website that was shared publicly (using the same URL included here in the Methods and Results section). This project is thus a non-disposable assignment (NDA), in that student coursework is viewed not only by the instructor, but by others within and beyond the classroom. Such assignments add value to the world outside of the classroom, which in turn increases the value of the assignment to the student (Seraphin et al., 2019). The assignment described here has the potential to be widely shared with relevant communities. The Spring 2020 Timeline was shared via Twitter, which provides a convenient platform to share this type of assignment with interested users. Several graduate students and Principal Investigators shared the post with colleagues, highlighting the usefulness of this timeline as an introduction to opioid research, or the usefulness of the digital timeline tool itself. As of April 2021, the Spring 2020 Timeline URL has been accessed via Twitter 394 times. Some NDAs are designed to create Open Educational Resources (OERs), some of which are not only used, but also revised, by the public (Wiley and Hilton III, 2018). Although digital timelines
created thus far through this assignment have not been set up for public revision, this is a possibility for the future.

The joint goal of creating a digital timeline engendered a collaborative spirit to this project. During the in-person semester, when students were together while adding their timeline entries to the shared Google Sheet and presenting their entries, students appeared excited and supportive of each other. This aspect of the assignment appeared to create comradery and a sense of joint success, as has been previously reported in cooperative group work (Johnson et al., 2014). Although students received individual grades that were not dependent on each other, they were aware throughout the assignment that they were producing a timeline together as a group. This aspect of the assignment could be incorporated into remote instruction, especially as many students have become familiar with using shared Google Sheets and platforms for virtual presentations.

The following learning goals for this assignment may be assessed in the future:

- Practice critical reading of primary literature.
- Develop scientific writing skills, with an emphasis on clarity and brevity.
- Reinforce neuroscience concepts and experimental techniques.
- Enhance understanding of how individual techniques, experiments, and articles contribute to the broader context of an interdisciplinary scientific field.

Anecdotal student responses suggest that this assignment was successful in reinforcing course material and also in motivating students beyond the scope of the assignment. Multiple students reported to the instructor that the assignment helped their comprehension of key course topics, including intracellular G protein-coupled signaling pathways and neuronal circuit functions. In the Spring 2020 class, there were two second-year students who are part of an honors program for which they are required to pick a thesis topic during their third year. Both students approached the instructor several months after the course, with plans to write their honors theses on topics stemming from this assignment.

In conclusion, this assignment provides a framework for teaching analysis of primary literature and scientific writing course improves biology undergraduates’ perception and confidence of their abilities to read scientific literature and communicate science. Adv Physiol Educ 37(1):70-79. doi: 10.1152/advan.00138.2012
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APPENDIX
ARTICLES USED FOR OPIOID RESEARCH TIMELINE (IN CHRONOLOGICAL ORDER)


