

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL 2

Category	1-2	3-5	6-8	9-10	Score
Presenter's Oral Presentation	Presenter not prepared. Demonstrated problems in several areas (eye contact, discussion of research, lack of professionalism).	Presenter did not convey confidence or ability to clearly discuss the research problem, methods, conclusions, and implications. Additional practice would be helpful.	Presentation and demonstration of understanding was good. Demonstrated some problems (speaking too softly, use of jargon, hesitation, inability to handle questions).	Presenter was confident and professional. Established eye contact. Clearly conveyed research problems, methods, conclusions, and implications. Answered questions well.	
Poster Content	Connection not found between poster content and purpose of the study, research hypothesis/ question(s), methods, conclusions, or implications.	Content presented was difficult to understand and did not sufficiently convey a connection to the study, hypothesis, research question(s), methods, conclusions, and/or implications.	The content was adequately presented but support for the study, research hypothesis, or question(s) is somewhat general. Conclusions and implications were reasonable.	Strong material. Well summarized. Shows clear development of research. Material appears to accurately support purpose of the study, hypothesis, or research question(s). Strong conclusion and implications.	
Research Complexity	Less complex research project. Purpose of the study and analysis of results not easily interpreted by audience.	Less complex research project: however, purpose of the study and results easily interpreted by the audience.	Complex research project. Purpose of the research and results were difficult to interpret by the general audience.	Complex research project. Purpose of the study was completely defined, and results displayed in a manner interpretable by the general audience.	
Poster Appearance/ Clarity	Not visually effective.	Poster was acceptable but needs work to improve visual appeal through better utilization of fonts, colors, headings, and white space.	Poster was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of space through font size, colors, headings, and white space.	Visually appealing and strongly effective presentation. Easy to read. Utilized creativity in use of fonts, headings, and colors.	
Poster Organization	Unable to understand link between information presented and topic of research.	Topic of research is not clear. Information presented is somewhat confusing.	Topic of the research is apparent. The presentation of information could use refining.	Topic of research is clearly evident. Layout of poster is logical and provides sequential information from introduction to conclusion and references.	
Additional Comments:					TOTAL

S2. Grading rubric for the scientific poster presentation.